Exact Wigner function for chiral spirals by Sudip Kumar Kar¹

Collaborators: Samapan Bhadury ,Wojciech Florkowski and Valeriya Mykhaylova ¹Institute of Theoretical Physics, Jagiellonian University, ul. St. Lojasiewicza 11, 30-348 Krakow, Poland

At

65th Jubilee Cracow School of Theoretical Physics

On June 16, 2025

Based on ArXiv:2504.03413





Outline

- Motivation
- Background
- Chiral spirals and their solution
- Wigner functions
- Twist in polarization
- Breakdown of semiclassical expansion

Motivation

ELSEVIER

Physics Letters B Volume 849, February 2024, 138464

Letter

Polarization of spin-1/2 particles with effective spacetime dependent masses

Samapan Bhadury ° 쩐, Arpan Das ^{b c} 은 쩐, Wojciech Florkowski ^a 쩐, Gowthama K.K. ^d 쩐, Radoslaw Ryblewski ^b 쩐

$$\sigma(t,z) = rac{M_0}{M(t)} \sigma_0 \left(z - v(t-t_0)
ight)$$

"....This behavior indicates that the spin polarization phenomena may be connected with the effects of chiral symmetry restoration."

- As a starting point, it was natural to explore the multitude of condensates that were available to us from studies about pion condensation.
- Thus, chiral spiral was selected

Historical background

Bose-Einstein condensate



Superfluid He



Superconducting Metals

 A.B. Migdal and Independently R.F. Sawyer and D.J. Scalapino proposed the existence of a Bose-Einstein condensate in pions in 1972.



Neutron stars

Chiral spirals as a potential ground state of matter

- Chiral spirals → Mean fields that are used to study pion condensation.[V. Schon and M. Thies, arXiv:hep-th/0008175.]
- Have been studied thoroughly in last ~45 years

Pion condensation in a relativistic field

- tl Quark matter with nion condensate in an
- n effec <u>Marek Kut</u> Marek Kut Marek M

How neutron star properties disfavor a nuclear chiral density wave

Orestis Papadopoulos^{*} and Andreas Schmitt^{®†}

A spiral of condensates



For example: NJL model with zero mass



 In chiral spirals we assume a periodic form for the mean fields given as

$$\sigma = M\cos(\phi), \qquad \pi = M\sin(\phi).$$

Why Spirals?



We choose the following form for ϕ , $\phi = \frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\hbar}$ \mathbf{q} is called the inhomogeneity factor

One ansatz to solve them all

- The forms of the condensate turns our dynamical equation into a Dirac-like equation given as $\begin{bmatrix}i\hbar\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} Me^{i\gamma_{5}(\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x})/\hbar}\end{bmatrix}\psi(x) = 0$
- Can be solved using the ansatz [F. Dautry and E. M. Nyman, Nuclear Physics A 319, 323 (1979).]

$$\psi_{\pm}(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{i\gamma_5}{2}\frac{\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}{\hbar}\right)\chi_{\pm}(\mathbf{p})e^{\mp ip\cdot x/\hbar}$$

• Ansatz \rightarrow space dependence gone

One ansatz to solve them all

• Now we need to solve the eigensystem

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pm \left(M - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) & \mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{p} & \mp \left(M + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

+ : "Positive" energy
- : "Negative" energy
τ : Pauli matrices

• The eigenvalues give the energy spectrum which features a split in energy for different spins (q > 0),

$$E_{\mathbf{p}}^{(r)} = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + q^2/4 + M^2 + (-1)^{r-1} q E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel}} \quad (r = 1, 2).$$

Where, $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\|} = \sqrt{M^2 + (p^3)^2}$

Energy spectrum of the system



$$\begin{split} \textbf{Spinors} \\ \chi_{\pm}^{(r)}(\textbf{p}) = N_{\pm}^{(r)} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{E_{\textbf{p}}^{(r)} \pm (-1)^{r} E_{\textbf{p}}^{\parallel} \mp \frac{q}{2}}{p^{1} + ip^{2}} \\ \pm \frac{p^{3}}{M + (-1)^{r} E_{\textbf{p}}^{\parallel}} \\ \pm \frac{E_{\textbf{p}}^{(r)} \pm (-1)^{r} E_{\textbf{p}}^{\parallel} \mp \frac{q}{2}}{p^{1} + ip^{2}} \frac{p^{3}}{M + (-1)^{r} E_{\textbf{p}}^{\parallel}} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

The factor $N_{\pm}^{(r)}$ is chosen such that the system is normalized to

 $\chi_{+}^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p})\chi_{+}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p}) = 2E_{\mathbf{p}}^{(r)}, \quad \chi_{-}^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p})\chi_{-}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p}) = 2E_{\mathbf{p}}^{(r)}.$

Orthogonality of the spinors is given as

Chiral spiral	Free Dirac case
$\chi_{+}^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p})\chi_{+}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) = 2E_{\mathbf{p}}^{(r)}\delta^{rs}$	$u^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p})u^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) = 2E_{\mathbf{p}}\delta^{rs}$
$\chi_{-}^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p})\chi_{-}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) = 2E_{\mathbf{p}}^{(r)}\delta^{rs}$	$v^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p})v^{(s)}(\mathbf{p}) = 2E_{\mathbf{p}}\delta^{rs}$
$\chi_{+}^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p})\chi_{-}^{(s)}(-\mathbf{p})=0$	$u^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p})v^{(s)}(-\mathbf{p})=0$
$\chi_{-}^{(r)^{\dagger}}(-\mathbf{p})\chi_{+}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p})=0$	$v^{(r)^{\dagger}}(-\mathbf{p})u^{(s)}(\mathbf{p})=0$
$\bar{\chi}_{+}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p})\chi_{-}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p})=0$	$\bar{u}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p})v^{(s)}(\mathbf{p})=0$
$\overline{\chi_{-}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p})\chi_{+}^{(s)}(\mathbf{p})}=0$	$\bar{v}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p})u^{(s)}(\mathbf{p})=0$

The completeness relation reads

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}^{(r)}} \Big[\chi_{+,a}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p}) \chi_{+,b}^{(r)^{\dagger}}(\mathbf{p}) + \chi_{-,a}^{(r)}(-\mathbf{p}) \chi_{-,b}^{(r)^{\dagger}}(-\mathbf{p}) \Big] = \delta_{ab}$$

"Spin" of the spinors

- The $p \to 0$ limit reveals the relationship between the index (r) and the direction of spin polarization.



The spinor fields

• The general field is thus given as

$$\psi(x) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}} \sum_{r=1,2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\mathbf{p}}^{(r)}}} \left[u^{(r)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) b_r(\mathbf{p}) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}p \cdot x} + v^{(r)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}) c_r^*(\mathbf{p}) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}p \cdot x} \right]$$

• Where we have

$$u^{(r)}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-\frac{i\gamma_5}{2}\frac{\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}{\hbar}\right)\chi_+^{(r)}(\mathbf{p}) \quad v^{(r)}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-\frac{i\gamma_5}{2}\frac{\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}}{\hbar}\right)\chi_-^{(r)}(\mathbf{p}).$$

- These fields are actually quantum, check $\{\psi_a(t, \mathbf{x}), \psi_b^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{y})\} = \delta_{ab} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}),$ $\{\psi_a(t, \mathbf{x}), \psi_b(t, \mathbf{y})\} = \{\psi_a^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{x}), \psi_b^{\dagger}(t, \mathbf{y})\} = 0,$
- with $b_r(\mathbf{p})$, $c_r^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow$ standard anti-commutation relation

Wigner functions

- Wigner functions \rightarrow Phase space distribution function in quantum systems
- For spin-1/2 systems, these are given as

$$W_{ab}(x,k) = \int \frac{d^4 y}{(2\pi\hbar)^4} e^{-\frac{ik \cdot y}{\hbar}} \left\langle \psi_{\overline{b}}\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right) \psi_a\left(x - \frac{y}{2}\right) \right\rangle$$

The only non zero exp. values

$$\langle c_s^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')c_r(\mathbf{p})\rangle = \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{p}) \,\overline{f_{\mathbf{p}}} \,(1+\overline{\zeta}_{\mathbf{p}}\cdot\mathbf{\tau})_{sr},$$

 $\langle b_s^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')b_r(\mathbf{p})\rangle = \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{p})f_{\mathbf{p}}(1+\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{\mathbf{p}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau})_{sr}$

Axial current polarization

Clifford algebra decomposition of the Wigner function

 $\begin{array}{c} \Gamma = \{1, \gamma_5, \gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5, \Sigma_{\mu\nu}\} \\ \text{Basis for all 4 \times 4 matrices} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Gamma} \\ \text{matrices} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Clifford algebra} \\ \{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}_{4\times 4} \end{array}$

- Decomposition of Wigner function is thus $W_{ab} = \left[\mathcal{F} + i\gamma_5 \mathcal{P} + \gamma_\mu \mathcal{V}^\mu + \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu} \right]_{ab}.$
- Components $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{V}^{\mu}, \mathcal{A}^{\mu}, \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu}\} \rightarrow$ Trace with W



Currents → Integrate the components over 4-momentum

Vector and axial vector currents

- The spatial part of vector current vanishes ($V^i(x)=0$)
- The temporal part is

$$V^{0}(x) = \int d^{4}k \,\mathcal{V}^{0}(x,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{2} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}} \Big(f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(r)} - \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(r)}\Big) \,.$$

• For the axial vector, $A^0(x) = A^1(x) = A^2(x) = 0$

$$A^{3}(x) = \int d^{4}k \,\mathcal{A}^{3}(x,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{2} \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}} \frac{(-1)^{r} E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\parallel}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}^{(r)}} \left[1 + \frac{(-1)^{r-1}q}{2E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\parallel}}\right] \left(f_{\mathbf{k}}^{(r)} + \bar{f}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(r)}\right).$$

• This shows good agreement with the formula obtained in [M. Kutschera, W. Broniowski, and A. Kotlorz, Nuclear Physics A 516, 566 (1990).] up to the internal degrees of freedom connected with flavor and color.

Effects of inhomogeneity on axial current



More inhomogeneity = More polarization

Polarization even at vanishing baryon chemical potential

The homogeneous limit

• When the inhomogeneity factor vanishes, the vector looks like

$$\chi_{\pm}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p},q \to 0) = \sqrt{\frac{(p^{1})^{2} + (p^{2})^{2}}{2E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel}}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{E(\mathbf{p}) \pm (-1)^{r}E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel}}\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel} + (-1)^{r}M} \\ p^{1} + ip^{2} \\ \pm (-1)^{r} \frac{p^{3}}{\sqrt{E(\mathbf{p}) \pm (-1)^{r}E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel}}\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel} + (-1)^{r}M} \\ \frac{p^{3}\sqrt{E(\mathbf{p}) \pm (-1)^{r}E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel}}}{(p^{1} + ip^{2})\sqrt{E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel} + (-1)^{r}M}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{E(\mathbf{p}) \pm (-1)^{r}M}}{\sqrt{E(\mathbf{p}) \pm (-1)^{r}M}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{E(\mathbf{p}) \pm (-1)^{r}E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel}}}{\sqrt{E(\mathbf{p}) \pm (-1)^{r}E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\parallel}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

• This looks nothing like the homogeneous case we know!

The homogeneous limit

 The real homogeneous limit is seen by taking linear combination of the limiting vectors $\chi_{+}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}=0) = U^{rr'}u_{d}^{r'}(\mathbf{p}) \qquad \chi_{-}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}=0) = V^{rr'}v_{d}^{r'}(\mathbf{p})$

The matrices are Unitary

$$U^{\dagger}U = V^{\dagger}V = 1$$

• The U and V matrices twist the $\zeta_p \& \overline{\zeta}_p$ vectors even at a vanishing inhomogeneity.

The homogeneous limit

Expected effect

$$\chi_{\pm}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) \xrightarrow{q \to 0 \text{ limit}} \sqrt{E_p + M} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{(r)} \\ \frac{\mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E_p + M} \varphi^{(r)} \end{pmatrix}, \sqrt{E_p + M} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E_p + M} \eta^{(r)} \\ \eta^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Polarized along}} (0,0,1)$$

Actual effect

$$\chi_{\pm}^{(r)}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}) \xrightarrow{q \to 0 \text{ limit}} U^{rr'}u_d^{r'}(\mathbf{p}), V^{rr'}v_d^{r'}(\mathbf{p}) \xrightarrow{\text{Polarized along}} -\frac{1}{E_p^{\parallel}(E_\mathbf{p}+M)} \left(p^1p^3, p^2p^3, E_p^{\parallel 2}+E_\mathbf{p}M\right)$$

Semiclassical expansion of the Wigner function

• One can obtain the dynamical equations for the Wigner function components,

$$K^{\mu}\mathcal{V}_{\mu} - \sigma\mathcal{F} + \pi\mathcal{P} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} [(\partial_{\mu}\pi)(\partial_{k}^{\mu}\mathcal{P}) - (\partial_{\mu}\sigma)(\partial_{k}^{\mu}\mathcal{F})], \qquad \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \text{Up to leading} \\ \text{order in }\hbar \end{array} \quad k_{\mu}\mathcal{V}_{(0)}^{\mu} - \sigma_{(0)}\mathcal{F}_{(0)} + \pi_{(0)}\mathcal{P}_{(0)} = 0 \\ \\ -i\mathcal{K}^{\mu}\mathcal{A}_{\mu} - \sigma\mathcal{P} - \pi\mathcal{F} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} [(\partial_{\mu}\pi)(\partial_{k}^{\mu}\mathcal{F}) + (\partial_{\mu}\sigma)(\partial_{k}^{\mu}\mathcal{P})], \\ \\ \mathcal{K}_{\mu}\mathcal{F} + i\mathcal{K}^{\nu}\mathcal{S}_{\nu\mu} - \sigma\mathcal{V}_{\mu} + i\pi\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} [i(\partial_{\nu}\pi)(\partial_{k}^{\nu}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}) - (\partial_{\nu}\sigma)(\partial_{k}^{\nu}\mathcal{V}_{\mu})], \\ \\ i\mathcal{K}^{\mu}\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{K}_{\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\nu\mu} - \sigma\mathcal{A}^{\mu} + i\pi\mathcal{V}^{\mu} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} [i(\partial_{\nu}\pi)(\partial_{k}^{\nu}\mathcal{V}^{\mu}) - (\partial_{\nu}\sigma)(\partial_{k}^{\nu}\mathcal{A}^{\mu})], \\ \\ \mathcal{V}^{\nu} - \mathcal{K}^{\nu}\mathcal{V}^{\mu}) - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\tau\sigma}\mathcal{K}_{\tau}\mathcal{A}_{\sigma} - \pi\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\mu\nu} + \sigma\mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} [(\partial_{\gamma}\sigma)(\partial_{k}^{\nu}\mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu}) - (\partial_{\gamma}\pi)(\partial_{k}^{\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\mu\nu})]. \end{array}$$

Solved using the semiclassical expansion: $C = C_{(0)} + \hbar C_{(1)} + \hbar^2 C_{(2)} + \dots$ Where $C \in \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{V}^{\mu}, \mathcal{A}^{\mu}, \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu}\}$

 $i(K^{\mu}$

Summary

- Spinors for chiral spirals were obtained.
- A general quantum field was constructed.
- Wigner function and all its components were computed.
- We discovered a twist in polarization in the limit of vanishing inhomogeneity.
- The computed exact functions were shown to disagree with the semiclassical expansion.

Thank You!

Appendix

$$k^{0}\mathcal{V}^{0}(k^{0},\boldsymbol{k}=0) = \sum_{r=1}^{2} k^{0} \left\{ \left[\delta \left(k^{0} - E_{\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}}^{(r)} \right) F_{\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}}^{(r)} - \delta \left(k^{0} + E_{\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}}^{(r)} \right) \left(\bar{F}_{\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}}^{(r)} - 1 \right) \right] \frac{C_{r}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}\right)}{2} + \left[\delta \left(k^{0} - E_{\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}}^{(r)} \right) F_{\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}}^{(r)} - \delta \left(k^{0} + E_{\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}}^{(r)} \right) \left(\bar{F}_{\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}}^{(r)} - 1 \right) \right] \frac{C_{r-1}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}, \frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{2}\right)}{2} \right\}$$
(83)

and

$$\mathcal{M}(k^{0}, \boldsymbol{k}=0) = \sum_{r=1}^{2} \frac{M^{2}}{E_{0}^{(r)}} \left[F_{0}^{(r)} \delta\left(k^{0} - E_{0}^{(r)}\right) + \left(\bar{F}_{0}^{(r)} - 1\right) \delta\left(k^{0} + E_{0}^{(r)}\right) \right] C_{r}\left(0, \frac{q}{2}\right).$$
(84)