### 64. Cracow School of Theoretical Physics **From the UltraViolet to the InfraRed:** a panorama of modern gravitational physics

**June 15-23, 2024** Zakopane, Tatra Mountains, Poland

#### **Topics include:**

- **Quantum/semiclassical gravity**
- **Amplitudes, soft theorems**
- **Black holes**
- **Gravitational waves, observation and theory**
- **Future detectors** 
	- **Dark Matter, Dark Energy Modified gravity** •
	- **Mathematical aspects of GR Cosmology**

# **Domain Walls**

# **and their Gravitational Waves I**

### *Alexander Vikman*

**18.06.2024** 











# Plan

- Simplest Domain Walls  $\bigcirc$
- Cosmology of Domain Walls  $\bigcirc$
- Melting Domain Walls and creation of ultralight **DM** $\bigcirc$

### **Kinks and Domain Walls**

An Introduction to **Classical and Quantum Solitons** 



### **Tanmay Vachaspati**

**Cosmic Strings** and Other **Topological Defects** 

> A. VILENKIN E. P. S. SHELLARD

**CAMBRIDGE MONOGRAPHS** ON MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS **ADVANCED TOPICS IN Quantum Field Theory** 

A Lecture Course

**SECOND EDITION** 

**MIKHAIL SHIFMAN** 



Edward W. Kolb = Michae

**IOP** Publishing

Classical and Quantum Gravity

Class. Quantum Grav. 35 (2018) 163001 (149pp)

https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aac608

**Topical Review** 

arXiv:1801.04268

### **Cosmological backgrounds of gravitational waves**

#### Chiara Caprini<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup> and Daniel G Figueroa<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire Astroparticule et Cosmologie, CNRS UMR 7164, Université Paris-Diderot, 10 rue Alice Domon et Léonie Duquet, 75013 Paris, France <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Particle Physics and Cosmology Institute of Physics (LPPC), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

E-mail: caprini@apc.in2p3.fr and daniel.figueroa@cern.ch

Received 24 March 2017, revised 16 May 2018 Accepted for publication 18 May 2018 Published 18 July 2018



**Abstract** Gravitational waves (GWs) have a great potential to probe cosmology. We

## Spontaneous Breaking of Discrete Symmetry

 $Z_2$  -symmetric scalar field



# $\phi(z) = \eta \tanh(z/\ell)$ Kink



## Energy-Momentum and Surface Tension

$$
T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \partial^{\mu} \phi \, \partial_{\nu} \phi - \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial \phi \right)^{2} - V \left( \phi \right) \right)
$$

$$
T_{\nu}^{\mu} = \frac{\lambda}{2} \eta^4 \cosh^{-4} \left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right) diag(1,1,1,0)
$$

$$
\sigma_{wall} = \int dz T_0^0 = \frac{2\sqrt{2\lambda}}{3} \eta^3(T)
$$

## Note that Great Wall



# **Even a Greater One!**





### Cosmological consequences of a spontaneous breakdown of a discrete symmetry

Ya. B. Zel'dovich, I. Yu. Kobzarev, and L. B. Okun'

Institute for Applied Mathematics, USSR Academy of Sciences (Submitted January 31, 1974) Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 67, 3-11 (July 1974)

In theories involving spontaneous symmetry breakdown one may expect a domain structure of the vacuum. Such a structure does not exist near a cosmolgical singularity, when the temperature is above the Curie point, but this structure must appear later during the cosmological expansion and cooling down. We discuss the properties of the domain interfaces and of the space with domains in the large, the law of cosmological expansion in the presence of domains, and the influence of domains of the homogeneity of the Universe at a late stage.

### $T \propto$ 1 *a* photon temperature due to redshift *δT T* ∝ *δa a*  $\simeq \Phi$ Large CMB fluctuations

$$
Poisson Equation \quad \Delta \Phi \sim G \sigma_{wall} \delta(z)
$$

$$
\Phi \sim G \sigma_{wall} z
$$

$$
\frac{\delta T}{T} \simeq G \sigma_{wall} H_0^{-1} \simeq 10^{10} \lambda^{1/2} \left(\frac{\eta}{100 \, GeV}\right)^3
$$

## Even Larger Mass

Mass inside the horizon *H*−<sup>1</sup>

$$
M_{wall} \sim \sigma_{wall}/H^2
$$

$$
\simeq 4 \times 10^{65} \ \lambda^{1/2} \left( \frac{\eta}{100 \ GeV} \right)^3 \ \text{grams}
$$



"Apparently, domain walls are cosmological bad news…"

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

#### VOLUME 9, NUMBER 12

15 JUNE 1974

#### Gauge and global symmetries at high temperature\*

Steven Weinberg

Lyman Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 (Received 19 February 1974)

It is shown how finite-temperature effects in a renormalizable quantum field theory can restore a symmetry which is broken at zero temperature. In general, for both gauge symmetries and ordinary symmetries, such effects occur only through a temperature-dependent change in the effective bare mass of the scalar bosons. The change in the boson bare mass is calculated for general field theories, and the results are used to derive the critical temperatures for a few special cases, including gauge and nongauge theories. In one case, it is found that a symmetry which is unbroken at low temperature can be broken by raising the temperature above a critical value. An appendix presents a general operator formalism for dealing with higher-order effects, and it is observed that the one-loop diagrams of field theory simply represent the contribution of zero-point energies to the free energy density. The cosmological implications of this work are briefly discussed.

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D

#### VOLUME 23, NUMBER 4

#### 15 FEBRUARY 1981

#### Gravitational field of vacuum domain walls and strings

#### Alexander Vilenkin

Department of Physics, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155 (Received 10 October 1980)

The gravitational properties of vacuum domain walls and strings are studied in the linear approximation of general relativity. These properties are shown to be very different from those of regular massive planes and rods. It is argued that the domain walls are gravitationally unstable and collapse at a certain time  $-t_c$  after their creation. If the vacuum walls ever existed, they must have disappeared at  $t < t_c$ .

 $Z_2$  -symmetric DM scalar field  $\,\chi$  coupled to - a multiplet of N *thermal* degrees of freedom *ϕ*

**portal coupling**

$$
V = \frac{1}{2} \left( M^2 - g^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right) \cdot \chi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \chi^4 + \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4} \left( \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right)^2
$$
  
\nTachyonic thermal mass  
\n
$$
\mu^2 = g^2 \langle \phi^{\dagger} \phi \rangle \simeq \frac{Ng^2 T^2}{12} \text{ increasing during preheating, then red-shifting}
$$
\npotential bounded from below  $\Longrightarrow \beta = \frac{\lambda}{g^4} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\phi}} \geq 1$ 

potential bounded from below

weak coupling

## Direct Phase Transition

**Early universe spontaneously Broken Phase**

Avoid too much friction to start rolling



**Melling**  
\n**Domain**  
\n**Walls**  
\n
$$
V_{eff} \simeq \frac{\lambda \cdot (\chi^2 - \eta^2(T))^2}{4} \qquad \eta^2(T) \approx \frac{Ng^2T^2}{12\lambda} = \mu^2/\lambda
$$
\nTension  $\sigma_{wall} = \frac{2\sqrt{2\lambda}}{3} \eta^3(T)$  melting away as  $\propto T^3$ !

In the **scaling regime (Kibble 1976):** one domain wall per Hubble volume:

$$
M_{wall} \sim \sigma_{wall}/H^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\rho_{wall} \sim M_{wall}H^3 \sim \sigma_{wall} H \propto T^5
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{\rho_{wall}}{\rho_{rad}} \sim \frac{N^2}{30g_*(T)\beta} \cdot \frac{T}{T_i} < 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{T_i} < 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{T_i} < 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{T_i} < 1
$$

# Inverse Phase Transition At Meltdown



Babichev, Gorbunov, Ramazanov(2020)



### **Late Universe oscillations around restored symmetric vacuum**



# Tracing the vacuum

The minimum moves as 
$$
\chi_{min}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2(t) - M^2}{\lambda}}
$$

In the minimum 
$$
M_{eff}^2(t) = 2 \cdot (\mu^2(t) - M^2)
$$

Adiabatically tracing the minimum

$$
\left|\frac{\dot{M}_{\text{eff}}}{M_{\text{eff}}^2}\right| \ll 1
$$

Adiabaticity is definitely violated when  $M_{e\!f\!f} = 0$  i.e. when  $\mu_* \simeq M$  !

At this point one cannot trace the minimum as 
$$
\dot{\chi}_{min} = \frac{\mu \dot{\mu}}{\sqrt{\lambda (\mu^2(t) - M^2)}}
$$
 diverges!

# Resulting Energy Density

adiabaticity is violated at  $t_*$ , *before*  $\mu \simeq M$ , if  $M > H_*$  the field starts to oscillate with amplitude

$$
\chi_{*} \simeq \frac{(2M^{2})^{1/3}}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \left| \frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu} \right|_{*}^{1/3} \simeq \frac{(\kappa H_{*}M^{2})^{1/3}}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \left| \frac{\det_{t_{*}} \text{ when } \det_{t_{*}} \text{ when } \det_{t_{*} \text{ when } t_{*} \text{ when } \det_{t_{*} \text{ when } t_{*} \text{ when } t_{*
$$

the field behaves as DM

$$
\rho_{\chi}(t) = \frac{M^2 \chi^2}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{a_*}{a(t)}\right)^3 \simeq \frac{(\kappa \cdot M^5 \cdot H_*)^{2/3}}{4\lambda} \cdot \left(\frac{a_*}{a(t)}\right)^3
$$

for the model of this talk  $\kappa = 2$ 

### Dynamics only depends on one single free dimensionless parameter

$$
\ddot{\chi} + 3H\dot{\chi} + \left(M^2 - \frac{g^2 NT^2}{12}\right)\chi + \lambda\chi^3 = 0 \qquad \text{with} \qquad H = \frac{1}{2t} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 g_*}{90}} \frac{T^2}{M_{pl}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\tau_{\tilde{\chi}}^2} \left(\bar{\chi}'' + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tilde{\chi}'}{\tau}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\tau}\right)\bar{\chi} + \bar{\chi}^3 = 0 \qquad \text{cf. WKB}
$$
\n
$$
\tau_{\star} = \frac{g^2 N}{24\pi} \sqrt{\frac{90}{g_*}} \frac{M_{pl}}{M} = \frac{M}{2H_{\star}}
$$
\n
$$
-\tau_{\star} = 80
$$
\n
$$
-\tau_{\star} = 10
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\tau_{\tilde{\chi}}^2} \int_{\tau_{\text{obs}}^2}^{\tau_{\tilde{\chi}}^2} M = \frac{1}{2H_{\star}}
$$
\n
$$
-\tau_{\star} = 10
$$

t M/ $\tau_{*}$ 

### Assume Whole DM is in *χ*

$$
equality time \qquad \rho_{\chi} = \varepsilon_{rad} \left( T_{eq} \right) = \frac{\pi^2 g_* \left( T_{eq} \right)}{30} T_{eq}^4
$$

 $sa^3 = const$  where  $s =$  $2\pi^2 g_*(T) T^3$ from entropy conservation  $SA^3 = const$  where  $s = \frac{3.5}{45}$  $\overline{ }$ *a*\* *aeq* ) 3 =  $g_*\left(\,T_{eq}\right)\,T^3_{eq}$  $g_*(T_*) T_*^3$ which one uses in

$$
\rho_{\chi} = \frac{(4M^{10}H_{*}^{2})^{1/3}}{4\lambda} \left(\frac{a_{*}}{a_{eq}}\right)^{3} = \varepsilon_{rad} \left(T_{eq}\right) \qquad \text{to obtain } M
$$

## Mass of DM

$$
M = \frac{\lambda^{3/5}}{g} \sqrt{\frac{12}{N}} \left( \frac{\pi^4 g_*^2(T_*)}{75} \left( \frac{M_{pl}}{T_{eq}} \right)^2 \right)^{1/5} T_{eq}
$$

Recalling that

 $T_{eq} \simeq 0.8 \text{ eV}$ 

$$
M \simeq \underbrace{\text{(10}^{-13} \text{eV})}_{\text{Q}} \frac{\beta^{3/5}}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot \left(\frac{g_*(T_*)}{100}\right)^{2/5} \cdot \left(\frac{g}{10^{-18}}\right)^{7/5}
$$
\n
$$
\beta = \frac{\lambda}{g^4} \quad \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\phi}} \quad \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\phi}}
$$
\n
$$
\text{potential bounded}
$$
\n
$$
\text{weak coupling}
$$
\n
$$
\text{weak coupling}
$$

### Allowed Parameter Space

$$
M \simeq 10^{-13} \text{ eV} \cdot \frac{\beta^{3/5}}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot \left(\frac{g_*(T_*)}{100}\right)^{2/5} \cdot \left(\frac{g}{10^{-18}}\right)^{7/5}
$$





Thanks a lot for attention!