# Lecture 2: Probing (asymptotically safe) quantum gravity at all scales

- Quantum gravity is necessary to answer profound questions about our universe •
- Challenging to test proposed answers: expected scale of quantum gravity is Planck scale •

### Motivation

- Quantum gravity is necessary to answer profound questions about our universe lacksquare
- Challenging to test proposed answers: expected scale of quantum gravity is Planck scale •
- Solution:  $\bullet$ Lever arm translates effect at Planck scale into effect at observationally accessible scale



### Motivation



- Quantum gravity is necessary to answer profound questions about our universe  $\bullet$
- Challenging to test proposed answers: expected scale of quantum gravity is Planck scale lacksquare
- Solution: Lever arm translates effect at Planck scale into effect at observationally accessible scale



### Motivation



#### **Examples:**

Accumulation of Lorentz-Invariance-Violation over astrophysical distances of photons from GRBs

[Amelino-Camelia, Ellis, Mavromatos Nanopoulos, Sakar '97]

Large extra dimensions

[Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos, Dvali '98]

this talk: Renormalization Group flow of couplings



## Imprints of microphysics in macroscopic quantities — an analogy





#### not so different at large scales?





zooming out: microscopic information gets lost



#### different at small scales

## Imprints of microphysics in macroscopic quantities — an analogy

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### not so different at large scales?

![](_page_5_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_5.jpeg)

zooming out: microscopic information gets lost

![](_page_5_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### different at small scales

![](_page_5_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### not so different at large scales?

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

zooming out: microscopic information gets lost

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

strings

#### different at small scales\*

\* or are they? [de Alwis, AE, et al. 19; AE, Hebecker, Pawlowski, Walcher '24]

![](_page_6_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Imprints of microphysics in macroscopic quantities — an analogy

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### not so different at large scales?

macroscopic variable: viscosity differs

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

zooming out: most microscopic information gets lost

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### different at small scales

## Imprints of microphysics in macroscopic quantities — an analogy

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### not so different at large scales?

#### macroscopic variable: viscosity differs

Renormalization Group: tools to translate physics between different scales

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

zooming out: most microscopic information gets lost

![](_page_8_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### different at small scales

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Setting: Effective field theory for degrees of freedom below the Planck scale (SMEFT-like)

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i}^{N_{d=6}} \bar{g}_i \mathscr{O}^i + \dots$$

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i}^{a=0} \bar{g}_i \mathscr{O}^i + \dots$$

#### Marginal couplings:

Logarithmic scale dependence preserves 0.2
 "memory" of initial conditions at the Planck scale
 Danck scale

0.5

0.4

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Quantum-gravity approaches with predictions for values of the couplings at the Planck scale

#### String theory (see also stringy swampland conjectures) $\bullet$

[Vafa, Valenzuela, Montero, Ooguri, Palti, Heidenreich, McNamara, Rudelius, Shiu...]

[swampland conjectures in asymptotic safety: [de Alwis, AE, Held, Pawlowski, Schiffer, Versteegen '19; Basile, Platania '21]]

#### Asymptotically safe gravity $\bullet$

[AE, de Brito, Held, Pawlowski, Percacci, Reichert, Saueressig, Shaposhnikov, Schiffer, Wetterich, Yamada...]

review: AE, Schiffer '22

Causal sets: constraint on quartic coupling in scalar field theory  $\bullet$ 

[de Brito, AE, Fausten '23]

...an opportunity for other quantum-gravity approaches!

### Quantum-gravity approaches with predictions for values of the couplings at the Planck scale

#### String theory (see also stringy swampland conjectures) $\bullet$

[Vafa, Valenzuela, Montero, Ooguri, Palti, Heidenreich, McNamara, Rudelius, Shiu...]

[swampland conjectures in asymptotic safety: [de Alwis, AE, Held, Pawlowski, Schiffer, Versteegen '19; Basile, Platania '21]]

#### Asymptotically safe gravity $\leftarrow$ this talk! $\bullet$

[AE, de Brito, Held, Pawlowski, Percacci, Reichert, Saueressig, Shaposhnikov, Schiffer, Wetterich, Yamada...]

review: AE, Schiffer '22

Causal sets: constraint on quartic coupling in scalar field theory  $\bullet$ 

[de Brito, AE, Fausten '23]

...an opportunity for other quantum-gravity approaches!

#### Asymptotic safety in gravity-matter systems

- Scale symmetry at (trans-) Planckian scales  $\bullet$
- Compelling evidence with Standard Model-like matter sectors [review of current status: AE, Schiffer '22]
- Open questions: Lorentzian signature, unitarity under investigation [e.g., Fehre, Litim, Pawlowski, Reichert '21; Platania '22; Saueressig, Wang '23]

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Asymptotic safety in gravity-matter systems

- Scale symmetry at (trans-) Planckian scales
- Compelling evidence with Standard Model-like matter sectors [review of current status: AE, Schiffer '22]
- Open questions: Lorentzian signature, unitarity under investigation [e.g., Fehre, Litim, Pawlowski, Reichert '21; Platania '22; Saueressig, Wang '23]

#### Origin of predictions at the Planck scale

tion

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Asymptotic safety in gravity-matter systems

- Scale symmetry at (trans-) Planckian scales
- Compelling evidence with Standard Model-like matter sectors [review of current status: AE, Schiffer '22]
- Open questions: Lorentzian signature, unitarity under investigation [e.g., Fehre, Litim, Pawlowski, Reichert '21; Platania '22; Saueressig, Wang '23]

#### Origin of predictions at the Planck scale

Quantum fluctuations screen or antiscreen interactions, e.g.,

QED: 
$$\beta_e = k \partial_k e(k) = \frac{1}{12\pi^2} e^3 + \dots$$
  
 $\rightarrow e(k)$  decreases as  $k$  is lowered  
QCD:  $\beta_g = k \partial_k g(k) = -\frac{7}{16\pi^2} g^3 + \dots$   
 $\rightarrow g(k)$  increases as  $k$  is lowered

tion

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Asymptotic safety in gravity-matter systems

- Scale symmetry at (trans-) Planckian scales  $\bullet$
- Compelling evidence with Standard Model-like matter sectors [review of current status: AE, Schiffer '22]
- Open questions: Lorentzian signature, unitarity under investigation [e.g., Fehre, Litim, Pawlowski, Reichert '21; Platania '22; Saueressig, Wang '23]

#### Origin of predictions at the Planck scale

0.05

0.04 Quantum fluctuations screen or antiscreen interactions, e.g., 0.03

В 0.02

QED: 
$$\beta_e = k \partial_k e(k) = \frac{1}{12\pi^2} e^3 + \dots$$
 0.01

→ 
$$e(k)$$
 decreases as  $k$  is lowered  
QCD:  $\beta_g = k \partial_k g(k) = -\frac{7}{16\pi^2}g^3 + ...$   
→  $g(k)$  increases as  $k$  is lowered

![](_page_18_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_15.jpeg)

from scale symmetry  $\rightarrow$  a range of coupling values achievable at the Planck scale

![](_page_18_Picture_17.jpeg)

#### Asymptotic safety in gravity-matter systems

- Scale symmetry at (trans-) Planckian scales  $\bullet$
- Compelling evidence with Standard Model-like matter sectors [review of current status: AE, Schiffer '22]
- Open questions: Lorentzian signature, unitarity under investigation [e.g., Fehre, Litim, Pawlowski, Reichert '21; Platania '22; Saueressig, Wang '23]

#### Origin of predictions at the Planck scale

0.05

0.04 Quantum fluctuations screen or antiscreen interactions, e.g., 0.03

В 0.02

QED: 
$$\beta_e = k \partial_k e(k) = \frac{1}{12\pi^2} e^3 + \dots$$
 0.01

→ 
$$e(k)$$
 decreases as  $k$  is lowered  
QCD:  $\beta_g = k \partial_k g(k) = -\frac{7}{16\pi^2}g^3 + ...$   
→  $g(k)$  increases as  $k$  is lowered

![](_page_19_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_15.jpeg)

quantum fluctuations drive coupling away from scale symmetry  $\rightarrow$  a range of coupling values achievable at the Planck scale

![](_page_19_Figure_17.jpeg)

### Probing quantum gravity at all scales

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Probing quantum gravity at all scales

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Probing quantum gravity at all scales

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Higher-order couplings in gravity-matter systems

Asymptotically safe gravity induces higher-order interactions [AE, Gies '11; AE, 12]

Example: (Abelian vector fields)  $\mathscr{L}_k = \frac{Z_k}{4}F^2 + \frac{w_2}{k^4}(F^2)^2 + \frac{h_2}{k^4}F^4$ 

in the presence of gravity:  $w_2 \neq 0, h_2 \neq 0$  [Christiansen, AE 17; AE, Schiffer '19; AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

422

Asymptotically safe gravity induces higher-order interactions [AE, Gies '11; AE, 12]

Example: (Abelian vector fields)  $\mathscr{L}_{k} = \frac{Z_{k}}{\Delta}F^{2} + \frac{W_{2}}{\iota^{4}}\left(F^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{h_{2}}{\iota^{4}}F^{4}$ 

in the presence of gravity:  $w_2 \neq 0, h_2 \neq 0$  [Christiansen, AE 17; AE, Schiffer '19; AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

Positivity bounds in asymptotically safe gravity

Positivity bounds from causality in the IR  $\left|\frac{w_2}{h_2} > -\frac{3}{4}, \frac{4w_2 + 3h_2}{|4w_2 + h_2|} > 1\right|$ 

Apply to photons in asymptotically safe gravity:

- assume that can Wick-rotate action
- start at interacting fixed point and integrate to low k: use that  $w_2(k)$ ,  $h_2(k)$  are irrelevant and thus calculable
- gravity fluctuations decouple dynamically at Planck scale

[AE, Pedersen, Schiffer '24]

#### Higher-order couplings in gravity-matter systems

![](_page_24_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_14.jpeg)

# Marginally irrelevant couplings:

Gravitational contribution to beta functions of marginal couplings:

- $\rightarrow$  linear in the Standard-Model couplings (because gravity couples to the energy-momentum tensor)
- $\rightarrow$  only present beyond the Planck scale (because gravity coupling negligible below the Planck scale)
- $\rightarrow$  effects are the same for all gauge groups/all flavors (because gravity is "blind" to internal symmetries/charges)

$$\beta_{g_i} = -f_{g_i}g_i + \beta_{i,0}g_i^3 + \dots$$

with 
$$f_{g_i} = \text{const}$$
, above  $M_{\text{Planck}}$ 

$$f_{g_i} 
ightarrow 0$$
 , below  $M_{
m Planck}$ 

![](_page_25_Figure_8.jpeg)

Gravitational contribution to beta functions of marginal couplings:

- $\rightarrow$  linear in the Standard-Model couplings (because gravity couples to the energy-momentum tensor)
- $\rightarrow$  only present beyond the Planck scale (because gravity coupling negligible below the Planck scale)
- $\rightarrow$  effects are the same for all gauge groups/all flavors (because gravity is "blind" to internal symmetries/charges)

$$\beta_{g_i} = -f_{g_i}g_i + \beta_{i,0}g_i^3 + \dots$$

with 
$$f_{g_i} = \text{const}$$
, above  $M_{\text{Planck}}$ 

$$f_{g_i} 
ightarrow 0$$
 , below  $M_{
m Planck}$ 

For marginally irrelevant couplings ( $\beta_{i,0} > 0$ , screening)

and  $f_{g_i} > 0$  (antiscreening gravity),

gravity and matter fluctuations compete to generate upper bound

![](_page_26_Figure_11.jpeg)

Gravitational contribution to beta functions of marginal couplings:  $\rightarrow$  linear in the Standard-Model couplings (because gravity couples to the energy-momentum tensor)  $\rightarrow$  only present beyond the Planck scale (because gravity coupling negligible below the Planck scale)  $\rightarrow$  effects are the same for all gauge groups/all flavors (because gravity is "blind" to internal symmetries/charges)  $\beta_{g_i} = -f_{g_i}g_i + \beta_{i,0}g_i^3 + \dots$ with  $f_{g_i} = \text{const}$ , above  $M_{\text{Planck}}$  $f_{\varrho_i} \to 0$  , below  $M_{\text{Planck}}$ For marginally irrelevant couplings ( $\beta_{i,0} > 0$ , screening)

and  $f_{g_i} > 0$  (antiscreening gravity),

gravity and matter fluctuations compete to generate upper bound

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

Examples:

Yukawa couplings

Abelian gauge coupling  $f_g = G \frac{5(1 - 4\Lambda)}{18\pi(1 - 2\Lambda)^2}$ 

[Daum, Harst, Reuter '09, Harst Reuter '11 Folkerts, Litim, Pawlowski '11, Christiansen, AE, '17 AE, Versteegen '17, de Brito, AE, Pereira '19 AE, Schiffer '19, AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

 $f_y = -G \frac{96 + \Lambda(-235 + \Lambda(103 + 56\Lambda))}{12\pi(3 + 2\Lambda(-5 + 4\Lambda))^2}$  [Oda, Yamada '16; AE, Held, Pawlowski '16, AE, Held '17]

[Oda, Yamada '16; AE, Held '17]

Extension to higher order

[AE, Held '17; Christiansen, AE '17; de Brito, AE, Pereira '19, AE, Schiffer '19; AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

![](_page_27_Figure_16.jpeg)

Gravitational contribution to beta functions of marginal couplings:  $\rightarrow$  linear in the Standard-Model couplings (because gravity couples to the energy-momentum tensor)  $\rightarrow$  only present beyond the Planck scale (because gravity coupling negligible below the Planck scale)  $\rightarrow$  effects are the same for all gauge groups/all flavors (because gravity is "blind" to internal symmetries/charges)  $\beta_{g_i} = -f_{g_i}g_i + \beta_{i,0}g_i^3 + \dots$ with  $f_{g_i} = \text{const}$ , above  $M_{\text{Planck}}$  $f_{g_i} \to 0$  , below  $M_{\text{Planck}}$ 

For marginally irrelevant couplings ( $\beta_{i,0} > 0$ , screening)

and  $f_{g_i} > 0$  (antiscreening gravity),

gravity and matter fluctuations compete to generate upper bound

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

Yukawa couplings

Universality and connection to perturbative results:

subtletv

![](_page_28_Figure_13.jpeg)

oles:

Abelian gauge coupling

$$f_g = G \frac{5(1 - 4\Lambda)}{18\pi(1 - 2\Lambda)^2}$$

[Daum, Harst, Reuter '09, Harst Reuter '11 Folkerts, Litim, Pawlowski '11, Christiansen, AE, '17 AE, Versteegen '17, de Brito, AE, Pereira '19 AE, Schiffer '19, AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

 $f_y = -G \frac{96 + \Lambda(-235 + \Lambda(103 + 56\Lambda))}{12\pi(3 + 2\Lambda(-5 + 4\Lambda))^2}$  AE, Held, Pawlowski '16, AE, Held, Pawlowski '16, AE, Held, '17]

[Oda, Yamada '16; AE, Held '17]

[AE, Held '17; Christiansen, AE '17; de Brito, AE, Extension to higher order Pereira '19, AE, Schiffer '19; AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

#### $f_g$ not universal and vanishes in some schemes (e.g., dimensional regularization),

#### if gravity coupling is treated as fixed external parameter

[Robinson, Wilczek '06; Toms '07; Ebert, Plefka, Rodigast '07; Anber, Donoghue, El-Houssieny '11; Ellis, Mavromatos '12...]

y: 
$$f_g > 0$$
 if fixed-point value for gravity evaluated in the same scheme [de Brito, AE '22]

![](_page_28_Figure_27.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_28.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_29.jpeg)

a

G

Gravitational contribution to beta functions of marginal couplings:  $\rightarrow$  linear in the Standard-Model couplings (because gravity couples to the energy-momentum tensor)  $\rightarrow$  only present beyond the Planck scale (because gravity coupling negligible below the Planck scale)  $\rightarrow$  effects are the same for all gauge groups/all flavors (because gravity is "blind" to internal symmetries/charges)  $\beta_{g_i} = -f_{g_i}g_i + \beta_{i,0}g_i^3 + \dots$ with  $f_{g_i} = \text{const}$ , above  $M_{\text{Planck}}$  $f_{g_i} \to 0$  , below  $M_{\text{Planck}}$ 

For marginally irrelevant couplings ( $\beta_{i,0} > 0$ , screening)

and  $f_{g_i} > 0$  (antiscreening gravity),

gravity and matter fluctuations compete to generate upper bound

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

Examples:

Abelian gauge coupling

$$f_g = G \frac{5(1 - 4\Lambda)}{18\pi(1 - 2\Lambda)^2}$$

[Daum, Harst, Reuter '09, Harst Reuter '11 Folkerts, Litim, Pawlowski '11, Christiansen, AE, '17 AE, Versteegen '17, de Brito, AE, Pereira '19 AE, Schiffer '19, AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

Yukawa couplings

$$f_y = -G \frac{96 + \Lambda(-235 + \Lambda(103 + 56\Lambda))}{12\pi(3 + 2\Lambda(-5 + 4\Lambda))^2}$$

[Oda, Yamada '16; AE, Held, Pawlowski '16, AE, Held '17]

![](_page_29_Figure_13.jpeg)

gravitational fixed-point values depend on matter ("matter matters") [Dona, AE, Percacci '13]

![](_page_29_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_17.jpeg)

G

Gravitational contribution to beta functions of marginal couplings:  $\rightarrow$  linear in the Standard-Model couplings (because gravity couples to the energy-momentum tensor)  $\rightarrow$  only present beyond the Planck scale (because gravity coupling negligible below the Planck scale)  $\rightarrow$  effects are the same for all gauge groups/all flavors (because gravity is "blind" to internal symmetries/charges)  $\beta_{g_i} = -f_{g_i}g_i + \beta_{i,0}g_i^3 + \dots$ with  $f_{g_i} = \text{const}$ , above  $M_{\text{Planck}}$  $f_{g_i} \to 0$  , below  $M_{\text{Planck}}$ 

For marginally irrelevant couplings ( $\beta_{i,0} > 0$ , screening)

and  $f_{g_i} > 0$  (antiscreening gravity),

gravity and matter fluctuations compete to generate upper bound

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

Examples:

Abelian gauge coupling

$$f_g = G \frac{5(1 - 4\Lambda)}{18\pi(1 - 2\Lambda)^2}$$

[Daum, Harst, Reuter '09, Harst Reuter '11 Folkerts, Litim, Pawlowski '11, Christiansen, AE, '17 AE, Versteegen '17, de Brito, AE, Pereira '19 AE, Schiffer '19, AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

Yukawa couplings

$$= -G \frac{96 + \Lambda(-235 + \Lambda(103 + 56\Lambda))}{12\pi(3 + 2\Lambda(-5 + 4\Lambda))^2}$$

[Oda, Yamada '16; AE, Held, Pawlowski '16, AE, Held '17]

![](_page_30_Figure_13.jpeg)

 $f_v$ 

gravitational fixed-point values depend on matter ("matter matters") [Dona, AE, Percacci '13]

![](_page_30_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_17.jpeg)

Gravitational contribution to beta functions of marginal couplings:  $\rightarrow$  linear in the Standard-Model couplings (because gravity couples to the energy-momentum tensor)  $\rightarrow$  only present beyond the Planck scale (because gravity coupling negligible below the Planck scale)  $\rightarrow$  effects are the same for all gauge groups/all flavors (because gravity is "blind" to internal symmetries/charges)  $\beta_{g_i} = -f_{g_i}g_i + \beta_{i,0}g_i^3 + \dots$ with  $f_{g_i} = \text{const}$ , above  $M_{\text{Planck}}$  $f_{g_i} \to 0$  , below  $M_{\text{Planck}}$ 

For marginally irrelevant couplings ( $\beta_{i,0} > 0$ , screening)

and  $f_{g_i} > 0$  (antiscreening gravity),

gravity and matter fluctuations compete to generate upper bound

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

Examples:

5

U 3

Abelian gauge coupling

$$f_g = G \frac{5(1 - 4\Lambda)}{18\pi(1 - 2\Lambda)^2}$$

 $f_v$ 

[Daum, Harst, Reuter '09, Harst Reuter '11 Folkerts, Litim, Pawlowski '11, Christiansen, AE, '17 AE, Versteegen '17, de Brito, AE, Pereira '19 AE, Schiffer '19, AE, Kwapisz, Schiffer '21]

Yukawa couplings

$$= -G \frac{96 + \Lambda(-235 + \Lambda(103 + 56\Lambda))}{12\pi(3 + 2\Lambda(-5 + 4\Lambda))^2}$$

[Oda, Yamada '16; AE, Held, Pawlowski '16, AE, Held '17]

free trajectories

10<sup>30</sup>

![](_page_31_Figure_13.jpeg)

gravitational fixed-point values depend on matter ("matter matters") [Dona, AE, Percacci '13]

![](_page_31_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Asymptotically safe Standard Model with gravity

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

Open questions:

- Higgs mass & stability (note dependence on top quark mass!)
- Neutrino masses

#### Asymptotically safe Standard Model with gravity

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **Neutrino masses**

#### Standard Model fermion masses

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Option 1:

neutrino masses arise through a different mechanism than the other fermion masses: Weinberg operator is zero and irrelevant; Seesaw-scale (type I) is bounded from above [work in progress with de Brito, Pereira, Yamada]

• Option 2:

neutrino masses arise through the Higgs mechanism with a very small Yukawa coupling [Held '19; Kowalska, Sessolo '22; AE, Held '22]

![](_page_34_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

General idea: Use predictive power of asymptotic safety to constrain models of the dark sector

 $\rightarrow$  to make quantum gravity testable

 $\rightarrow$  to make dark sector predictive

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Example: sim combine phe asymptotic-se  $\mathscr{L}_2 = -G_2(\phi, \phi)$  $\mathscr{L}_4 = -G_4(\phi, \phi)$ 

 $\mathscr{L}_{5} = G_{5}(\phi, \chi) G_{5,\chi} - \frac{G_{5,\chi}}{6} \left[ \left( D_{5,\chi} - D_{5,\chi} - D_{5,\chi} - D_{5,\chi} - D_{5,\chi} \right) \right]$ 

 $\chi = -D_{\mu}\phi D^{\mu}\phi/2$ 

General idea: Use predictive power of asymptotic safety to constrain models of the dark sector

 $\rightarrow$  to make quantum gravity testable

 $\rightarrow$  to make dark sector predictive

Example: simplest Horndeski theory of dark energy combine phenomenological constraints and asymptotic-safety-condition

$$\chi), \quad \mathscr{L}_{3} = G_{3}(\phi, \chi)D^{2}\phi,$$
$$\chi)R + G_{4,\chi}\left(\left(D^{2}\phi\right)^{2} - D_{\mu}D_{\nu}\phi D^{\mu}D^{\nu}\phi\right)$$
$$G_{\mu\nu}D^{\mu}D^{\nu}\phi$$

$$(D^2\phi)^3 - 3D^2\phi D_\mu D_\nu \phi D^\mu D^\nu \phi + 2D_\mu D_\nu \phi D^\mu D^\rho \phi D_\rho D^\nu \phi$$

![](_page_36_Picture_11.jpeg)

[Horndeski '74]

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

Example: simplest Horndeski theory of dark energy combine phenomenological constraints and asymptotic-safety-condition

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

General idea: Use predictive power of asymptotic safety to constrain models of the dark sector

 $\rightarrow$  to make quantum gravity testable

 $\rightarrow$  to make dark sector predictive

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

[Horndeski '74]

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Example: simplest Horndeski theory of dark energy combine phenomenological constraints and asymptotic-safety-condition  $\mathscr{L}_2 = -G_2(\phi,$ 

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $\chi = -D_{\mu}\phi D^{\mu}q$ 

General idea: Use predictive power of asymptotic safety to constrain models of the dark sector

 $\rightarrow$  to make quantum gravity testable

 $\rightarrow$  to make dark sector predictive

 $\Gamma_k = d$ 

$$\begin{split} \chi), \quad \mathcal{L}_{3} &= G_{3}(\phi, \chi) D^{2} \phi, \\ \chi) R + G_{4,\chi} \left( \left( D^{-} \phi \right)^{2} - \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \phi^{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{\nu} \phi \right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{\nu} \phi \\ D^{2} \phi \right)^{3} - 3 D^{2} \phi D_{\mu} D_{\nu} \phi D^{\mu} D^{\nu} \phi + 2 \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\nu} \phi \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{\mu} \phi \\ \phi / 2 \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} \text{nearly excluded by GW170817} \\ \text{[Creminelli, Vernizzi '17;} \\ \text{Ezquiaga, Zumalacárregui '17;} \\ \text{Sakstein, Jain '17;} \\ \text{Baker et al. '17...]} \end{aligned}$$

![](_page_38_Picture_11.jpeg)

$$\frac{1}{4}x\sqrt{\det g_{\mu\nu}}\left[-\frac{1}{16\pi\bar{G}}\left(R-2\bar{\Lambda}\right)-Z_{\phi}\chi-\bar{h}\chi D^{2}\phi+\bar{g}\chi^{2}\right]$$

asymptotic-safety condition:  $h(k) \rightarrow 0$  at all k

[AE, Rafael R. Lino dos Santos, Fabian Wagner '23]

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

[cf. lectures by Marco Cirelli]

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Thermal WIMP: Dark scalar with Higgs portal

 $\lambda_H H^\dagger H \phi^2$ 

- $\rightarrow$  production in the early universe
- $\rightarrow$  experimental searches (e.g. LHC, XENON)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Thermal WIMP: Dark scalar with Higgs portal**

$$\lambda_H H^{\dagger} H \phi^2$$

 $\rightarrow$  production in the early universe

 $\rightarrow$  experimental searches (e.g. LHC, XENON)

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Thermal WIMP: Dark scalar with Higgs portal

$$\lambda_H H^{\dagger} H \phi^2$$

 $\rightarrow$  production in the early universe

 $\rightarrow$  experimental searches (e.g. LHC, XENON)

$$\beta_{\lambda_H} = f_\lambda \lambda_H + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \lambda_H^2 + \dots$$

![](_page_44_Figure_7.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  single dark scalar decouples in asymptotic safety [AE, Hamada, Lumma, Yamada '17]

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Thermal WIMP: Dark scalar with Higgs portal

 $\lambda_H H^{\dagger} H \phi^2$ 

 $\rightarrow$  production in the early universe

 $\rightarrow$  experimental searches (e.g. LHC, XENON)

![](_page_45_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  single dark scalar decouples in asymptotic safety [AE, Hamada, Lumma, Yamada '17]

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Thermal WIMP: Dark scalar with Higgs portal

 $\lambda_H H^{\dagger} H \phi^2$ 

 $\rightarrow$  production in the early universe

 $\rightarrow$  experimental searches (e.g. LHC, XENON)

![](_page_46_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  single dark scalar decouples in asymptotic safety [AE, Hamada, Lumma, Yamada '17]

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Extended thermal WIMP sectors with Higgs-portal**

![](_page_46_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Thermal WIMP: Dark scalar with Higgs portal

 $\lambda_H H^{\dagger} H \phi^2$ 

 $\rightarrow$  production in the early universe

 $\rightarrow$  experimental searches (e.g. LHC, XENON)

![](_page_47_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  single dark scalar decouples in asymptotic safety [AE, Hamada, Lumma, Yamada '17]

![](_page_47_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Extended thermal WIMP sectors with Higgs-portal**

 add dark fermions and Yukawa interactions: EFT: 9 dimensional parameter space AS: 1-dimensional parameter space

![](_page_47_Figure_11.jpeg)

[AE, Pauly '21, AE, Pauly, Ray '21]

- add dark U(1) with kinetic mixing and dark fermions with Yukawa interactions: upper bounds on couplings [Reichert, Smirnov '19; Hamada, Yamada '20]
- make dark scalar  $U(1)_{dark}$ -symmetric and add dark vectors: EFT: phenomenological constraints on couplings AS: model ruled out due to negative quartic coupling

[de Brito, AE, Frandsen, Rosenlyst, Thing, Vieira '23]

![](_page_47_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Thermal WIMP: Dark scalar with Higgs portal

 $\lambda_H H^{\dagger} H \phi^2$ 

 $\rightarrow$  production in the early universe

 $\rightarrow$  experimental searches (e.g. LHC, XENON)

![](_page_48_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  single dark scalar decouples in asymptotic safety [AE, Hamada, Lumma, Yamada '17]

![](_page_48_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### **Extended thermal WIMP sectors with Higgs-portal**

 add dark fermions and Yukawa interactions: EFT: 9 dimensional parameter space AS: 1-dimensional parameter space

![](_page_48_Figure_11.jpeg)

[AE, Pauly '21, AE, Pauly, Ray '21]

- add dark U(1) with kinetic mixing and dark fermions with Yukawa interactions: upper bounds on couplings [Reichert, Smirnov '19; Hamada, Yamada '20]
- make dark scalar  $U(1)_{dark}$ -symmetric and add dark vectors: EFT: phenomenological constraints on couplings AS: model ruled out due to negative quartic coupling

[de Brito, AE, Frandsen, Rosenlyst, Thing, Vieira '23]

 $\rightarrow$  extended WIMP sectors strongly constrained or ruled out

![](_page_48_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

ALPs: generically present in stringy settings

Is there a difference to asymptotic safety? (Can axion-searches inform us about quantum gravity??)

### ALPs in asymptotically safe gravity

ALPS: axion-like particles with dimension-5-operator:

 $\bar{g}_a a(x) F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ 

phenomenology:

- ultralight (sub-eV) dark-matter candidate
- experimental searches: light-shining-through-wall

![](_page_51_Picture_6.jpeg)

### ALPs in asymptotically safe gravity

ALPS: axion-like particles with dimension-5-operator:

 $ar{g}_a \, a(x) \, F_{\mu
u} ilde{F}^{\mu
u}$  with

phenomenology:

- ultralight (sub-eV) dark-matter candidate
- experimental searches: light-shining-through-wall

![](_page_52_Picture_6.jpeg)

without gravity:

$$\beta_{g_a^2} = 2g_a^2 + \frac{7}{48\pi^2}g_a^4 + \dots$$

→irrelevant at Gaussian fixed point: vanishes if UV completion without extra fields demanded

with asymptotically safe gravity:

$$\beta_{g_a^2} = 2g_a^2 - f_{g_a}g_a^2 + \frac{7}{48\pi^2}g_a^4 + \frac{1}{48\pi^2}g_a^4 + \frac{1}{48\pi^2}g_$$

[de Brito, AE, Lino dos Santos '21]

 $g_{a*}^2 = 0$  unless  $f_{ga} > 2$  (strongly-coupled quantum gravity)

![](_page_52_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_15.jpeg)

### ALPs in asymptotically safe gravity

ALPS: axion-like particles with dimension-5-operator:

 $\bar{g}_a a(x) F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ 

phenomenology:

- ultralight (sub-eV) dark-matter candidate
- experimental searches: light-shining-through-wall

![](_page_53_Picture_6.jpeg)

safe landscape

without gravity:

$$\beta_{g_a^2} = 2g_a^2 + \frac{7}{48\pi^2}g_a^4 + \dots$$

 $\rightarrow$ irrelevant at Gaussian fixed point: vanishes if UV completion without extra fields demanded

with asymptotically safe gravity:

$$\beta_{g_a^2} = 2g_a^2 - f_{g_a}g_a^2 + \frac{7}{48\pi^2}g_a^4 + \frac{1}{48\pi^2}g_a^4 + \frac{1}{48\pi^2}g_$$

- 2.0 1.5

1.0

0.5

-0.5

-1.0 -1.5

-2.0

[de Brito, AE, Lino dos Santos '21]

 $g_{a*}^2 = 0$  unless  $f_{ga} > 2$  (strongly-coupled quantum gravity)

![](_page_53_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Thanks to current and former group members

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

former visiting PhD students

Joao Miqueleto Jan Kwapisz Arthur Vieira Arslan Sikandar Vedran Skrinjar **Carlos Nieto** 

and master students Andreas O. Pedersen Philipp Johannsen Ludivine Fausten Christopher Pfeiffer Ademola Adeifeoba Peter Vander Griend

![](_page_55_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_10.jpeg)